

Organization

Organization IC3700 Bldg Room 2ND FLOOR
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Organization LG3700 Bldg./Room PA-111
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Official Business

Penalty For Private Use, \$300

02 1M

000424939

MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314

RECEIVED

MAR 03 2011

USPTO MAIL CENTER

MI-XIN

130000

00 02/28/11

NOT DELIVERABLE TO SENDER
RETURN TO SENDER
UNABLE TO FORWARD
AS ADDRESSED

EC: 22313145050

*2091-0720-28-39

303935461 001-
2231301450


2231381450



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

[Handwritten signature]

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,020	01/25/2007	Clairè Marie-Aurore Seurat Guiochet	80350-1460	3889

7590 02/22/2011
James W. Kayden
Thomas, Kayden, Horstemeyer & Risley, L.L.P.
100 Galleria Parkway, N.W., Suite 1750
Atlanta, GA 30339-5948

EXAMINER

SIMONE, TIMOTHY F

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3742

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

02/22/2011

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Abandonment	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/579,020	SEURAT GUIOCHET ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy F. Simone	3742	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

This application is abandoned in view of:

1. ☒ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 06 January 2009.
 - (a) ☐ A reply was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of _____ month(s)) which expired on _____.
 - (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on _____, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).
 - (c) ☐ A reply was received on _____ but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).
 - (d) ☒ No reply has been received.
2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
 - (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
 - (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$_____ is insufficient. A balance of \$_____ is due.
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$_____. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$_____.
 - (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.
3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).
 - (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.
 - (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.
4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.
5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.
6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on _____ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.
7. ☐ The reason(s) below:

/Timothy F. Simone/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

- 6 NOV 2009



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

James W. Kayden
Thomas, Kayden, Horstemeyer & Risley, L.L.P.
100 Galleria Parkway, N.W., Suite 1750
Atlanta GA 30339-5948

In re Application of
SEURAT GUIOCHET, Claire, Marie-Aurore
et al.

U.S. Application No.: 10/579,020

PCT No.: PCT/FR2004/003033

Int. Filing Date: 26 November 2004

Priority Date: 27 November 2003

Attorney Docket No.: 80350-1460

For: PRESSURE-COOKING RECIPIENT
PROVIDED WITH A LID
ENGAGEABLE BY
CONTROLLED-DEFORMATION
AND A CORRESPONDING LID

DECISION ON

PETITION

UNDER 37 CFR 1.182

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.182 to relocate misdirected papers, filed in the United States Patent and Trademark Office on 21 September 2009.

BACKGROUND

On 05 January 2007, the Office mailed Notification of Missing Requirements (Form PCT/DO/EO/905), indicating that an oath or declaration of the inventors was required.

On 25 January 2007, applicants filed a declaration of the inventors directed to application 10/548,129. The papers were copied into this file on 31 March 2007.

On 19 September 2007, the Office mailed Notification of Acceptance (Form PCT/DO/EO/903) and a filing receipt indicating that the requirements under 35 USC 371(c)(1), (c)(2) and (c)(4) were satisfied on 25 January 2007.

On 06 January 2009, the Office mailed a non-final rejection, setting a three month shortened statutory period for response.

On 17 July 2009, the Office mailed Notice of Abandonment, indicating that the application went abandoned for failure to timely respond to the 06 January 2009 office action. The Notice was returned to the Office as undeliverable.

On 21 September 2009, applicants filed a petition under 37 CFR 1.182 to relocate the misdirected communications, in particular to remove them from 10/548,129 and to correct that application.

DISCUSSION

As indicated above, this application is abandoned. Applicants may wish to consider the filing of a petition under 37 CFR 1.137. Further, it is noted that the address of record is not the address that is listed on the last page of this petition. If the address of record is no longer valid, a change of address should be filed. As a courtesy, this decision is also being sent to the address on the petition.

The papers located in application 10/548,129 were copied into this application on 31 March 2007.

CONCLUSION

For the above reason, applicants' petition under 37 CFR 1.182 to relocate misdirected papers is **GRANTED**.

This application remains **ABANDONED**.

Any further correspondence with respect to this matter may be filed electronically via EFS-Web selecting the document description "Petition for review and processing by the PCT Legal Office" or by mail addressed to Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents, Office of PCT Legal Administration, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, with the contents of the letter marked to the attention of the Office of PCT Legal Administration.

/Erin P. Thomson/

Erin P. Thomson
Attorney Advisor
PCT Legal Administration

Phone: (571) 272-3292

Enc: Notice of Abandonment, mailed 17 July 2009 (2 pages)

cc: Thomas, Kayden, Horstemeyer & Risley, L.L.P.
600 Galleria Pkwy, Suite 1500
Atlanta, GA 30339